EMPHATIC APOLOGY IN GERMAN LINGUACULTURE

Oleksandra M. Shumiatska, Ivan Franko Lviv National University (Ukraine) E-mail: shykitka@ukr.net DOI: 10.32342/2523-4463-2019-2-18-23

Key words: speech genre, emphatic apology, utterance, speaker, addressee, malicious act.

In the proposed article, apology is considered as a speech genre (hereinafter SG), which is a set of lingua-pragmatic meanings typical of the implementation of apology in German. At the heart of such SG lies the pragmatic intention or set of pragmatic reasons inherent in the SG under investigation.

For the reason given in the proposed study, we distinguish the following subtypes of SG of apologies: 1) emphatic apology (a) apology in the interests of the speaker; b) an apology in the interests of the listener: c) an apology in the common interests)

2) etiquette apology

3) formal apology

The purpose of the article is to analyze and implement a pragmatic description of emphatic apology as one of the subtypes of SG of apology; to distinguish the differences of emphatic apology from other subtypes of the studied SG.

The main factors of emphatic apology are considered to be the high degree of seriousness of the harm done to the addressee; the speaker's awareness of his or her responsibility for the action taken; psy-chological discomfort experienced by the addressee as a result of a negative act; the desire of the addressee to plead guilty and to restore the balance in their relationship. In such a case, the speaker's communicative purpose is to express his/her negative attitude/negative evaluation of his or her own action and to take responsibility for the situation that led to his/her behavior.

Emphatic apology is divided into *apology in the interests of the speaker, the listener, and in the mutual interests of both interactors*. The main criterion for distinguishing between these three subtypes of emphatic apology is the direction of interest or the need for apology.

An emphatic apology is distinguished from etiquette or official apology by the fact that in a situation of emphatic apology, the speaker expresses his feelings about the event that occurred and caused harm to the addressee. At the center of the emphatic apology there is a speaker who sincerely seeks to have the addressee forgive him and his emotions, namely deep psychological discomfort and recognition of his responsibility for his negative act.

References

1. Batsevich, F.S. *Osnovy komunikatyvnoi lingvistyky* [Foundations of Communicative Linguistics]. Kyiv, Academy Publ., 2004, 344 p.

2. Vinokur, T.G. *Govoryashchiy i slushayushchiy: Varianty rechevogo povedeniya* [Speaker and Listener: Variants of Speech Behavior]. Moscow, Nauka Publ., 1993, 172 p.

3. Böll, H. Und sagte kein einziges Wort [And Never Said a Word]. München, Deutscher Taschenbuch Verlag, 1992, 170 p.

4. Dürrenmatt, Fr. *Der Besuch der alten Dame. Eine tragische Komödie* [The Visit]. Zürich, Diogenes Verlag, 2012, 160 p.

5. Hastings, S.O., Milburn, T. Olfaction and emotion: The quest for olfactory restoration in two speech communities. In: England, Oxon, Taylor & Francis LTD, 2019, volume 12, Issue 2, pp. 190-207.

6. Jöhnk, A. *Diesmal werde ich es schaffen* [I`ll do it this time], Bergisch Gladbach, Bastei Lübbe, 2001, 287 p.

7. Locher, M.A. Introduction: Politeness and impoliteness in computer-mediated communication. In: Journal of Politeness Research. Language, Behaviour, Culture, 2010, volume 6, issue 1, pp. 1-5.

8. Mass, G., Tölle, Chr. (2012). *Zwei Leben* [Two Lives], 2012, 116 S. Available at: http://www.stich-wortdrehbuch.de/sites/stichwortdrehbuch.de/files/drehbuecher/zweileben.pdf (Accessed 02 September 2019).

9. Niehaus, M. *Interkulturelle Dinge* [Intercultural things]. *Zeitschrift für Interkulturelle Germanistik* [Intercultural Germanic Studies Journal], 2010, volume 1, issue 1, pp. 33-48.

10. Neuhaus, N. Unter Haien [Under Sharks]. Münster; Berlin, Prospero Verlag, 2009, 1011 p.

11. Ogiermann, E. Politeness and in-directness across cultures: A comparison of English, German, Polish and Russian requests. In: Journal of Politeness Research. Language, Behaviour, Culture, 2009, volume 5, issue 2, pp. 189-216.

12. Remarque, E.M. *Der schwarze Obelisk* [The Black Obelisk]. Köln, Kiepenheuer & Witsch, 1989, 500 P.

13. Remarque, E.M. Drei Kameraden [Three Comrades]. Köln, Kiepenheuer & Witsch, 2000, 398 p.

ISSN 2523-4463 (print) ISSN 2523-4749 (online) ВІСНИК УНІВЕРСИТЕТУ ІМЕНІ АЛЬФРЕДА НОБЕЛЯ. Серія «ФІЛОЛОГІЧНІ НАУКИ». 2019. № 2 (18)

14. Schiewer, G.L. Kooperation und Wettbewerb – ein Widerspruch? Verständigung und Übersetzung im Blickfeld ökonomischer Emotionsforschung [Cooperation and competition – a contradiction? Understanding and translation in the field of economic emotion research]. Zeitschrift für Interkulturelle Germanistik [Intercultural Germanic Studies Journal], 2010, volume 1, issue 1, pp. 127-144.

15. Ushchyna, V. Cognitive dynamics of Stancetaking in risk discourse situation. In: Advanced Education, 2019, issue 12, pp. 142-149.

Одержано 5.09.2019.